



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2013

Computational studies on SAMs of Mn-6 SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting?

Totti, Federico ; Rajaraman, Gopalan ; Iannuzzi, Marcella ; Sessoli, Roberta

Abstract: Single molecule magnets (SMM) adsorbed on surfaces as magnetic building blocks represent a hot topic of research in the area of molecular magnetism. Indeed, the understanding the properties of molecules on surface is extremely challenging as atomistic structures are not readily available. In this regard, theoretical studies as reliable tool to reproduce and predict the structure and the magnetic ground state of SMMs in the bulk phase and adsorbed on a surface, should be considered very appealing. In this framework, we report a periodic density functional (DF) study on two Mn-6 SMM complexes of general formula $\text{Mn(III)}(6)\text{O}-2(\text{R-sao})(6)(\text{O}2\text{C-th})(2)\text{L}$], where $\text{HO}2\text{C-th}=3$ -thiophene carboxylic acid, $\text{saoH}(2) = \text{salicylaldehyde}$, $\text{R} = \text{H}$ and $\text{L} = (\text{EtOH})(4)$ (1) or $\text{R} = \text{Et}$ and $\text{L} = (\text{EtOH})(4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})(2)$ (2), performed to ascertain the structure of their self-assembled monolayers (SAM) on the Au(111) surface. Their magnetic properties in vacuum and in the adsorbed state have also been computed and our results clearly demonstrate that the grafting process is expected to not be a smooth one as it alters their bulk structure and, consequently, their magnetic properties.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4009916>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-81915>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Totti, Federico; Rajaraman, Gopalan; Iannuzzi, Marcella; Sessoli, Roberta (2013). Computational studies on SAMs of Mn-6 SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting? *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 117(14):7186-7190.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4009916>

Computational Studies on SAMs of {Mn₆} SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting?

Journal:	<i>The Journal of Physical Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Totti, Federico; Univeristy of Florence, Chemistry Rajaraman, Gopalan; Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Chemistry Iannuzzi, Marcella; University of Zurich, Institute of Physical Chemistry Sessoli, Roberta; University of Florence, Department of Chemistry

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Computational Studies on SAMs of {Mn₆} SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting?

Federico Totti,^{a} Gopalan Rajaraman,^b Marcella Iannuzzi,^c and Roberta Sessoli^a*

^aDipartimento di Chimica, Polo Scientifico, INSTM Università degli Studi di Firenze, via della Lastruccia 3, 50019 Sesto fiorentino, Italy.

^bDepartment of chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, India.

^cInstitute of Physical Chemistry, University of Zurich Winterthurerstrasse 190 CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

Computational Studies on SAMs of {Mn₆} SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting?

ABSTRACT

Single Molecule Magnets (SMM) adsorbed on surfaces as magnetic building blocks represent a hot topic of research in the area of molecular magnetism. Indeed, the understanding the properties of molecules on surface is extremely challenging as atomistic structures are not readily available. In this regard, theoretical studies as reliable tool to reproduce and predict the structure and the magnetic ground state of a SMM in the bulk phase and adsorbed on a surface, should be considered very appealing. In this framework, we report a periodic density functional (DF) study on two {Mn₆} SMM complexes of general formula [Mn(III)₆O₂(R-sao)₆(O₂C-th)₂L], where HO₂C-th=3-thiophene carboxylic acid, saoH₂ = salicylaldoxime, R = H and L = (EtOH)₄ (**1**) or R = Et and L = (EtOH)₄(H₂O)₂ (**2**), performed to ascertain the structure of their self-assembled monolayers (SAM) on the Au(111) surface. Their magnetic properties in vacuum and in the adsorbed state have also been computed and our results clearly demonstrate that the grafting process is expected to not be a smooth one as it alters their bulk structure and, consequently, their magnetic properties.

KEYWORDS: Periodic Density Functional Theory, Single Molecular Magnets, Self-Assembled Monolayer, Magnetic Properties

INTRODUCTION

The interest in molecular nano magnets (MNMs)¹ had grown tremendously in recent years due to their potential applications in high-density information storage devices, Q-bits in quantum computing and in spintronics devices to name a few.² The discovery that a cluster of manganese (III, IV) ions, {Mn₁₂} retains its magnetization in the absence of magnetic field (single molecule magnet, SMM, behavior) may prove to be of great technological importance, provided that the phenomenon is observed at temperatures significantly higher than liquid helium. The reversal of magnetization in SMMs is hampered by a barrier reversal whose height is given by $|D|S^2$ for integer spin systems with S being the spin ground state and D a negative axial anisotropy parameter.³ For {Mn₁₂} family of complexes the theoretical barrier height is estimated to be 60 K. Recently many lanthanide complexes reported to have higher barrier height,⁴ in particular a {Dy₅(III)} cluster with a barrier of 540 K.⁵ Moreover monomeric Tb(III) complex with phthalocyaninate ligands reported to have a barrier height of about 800 K,⁶ the largest known for such class of molecules. However, in most lanthanide based SMMs the quantum tunneling of magnetization is very fast leading to the very small coercitivity in the hysteresis loop, a remarkable exception being the lanthanide dimers bridged by the N₃⁻ radical.^{7,8} Regarding clusters of transition metal complexes, a breakthrough has been achieved with the discovery of a family of {Mn₆} complexes [Mn(III)₆O₂(sao)₆(O₂C-th)₂L₄₋₆],⁹ where HO₂C-ph=3-phenyl carboxylic acid, L = EtOH, H₂O, possessing a barrier height of ca. 90 K. This is the highest reported for any transition metal SMM so far. Another bottleneck in taking MNMs to end-user applications relies on organizing the molecules on solid-surfaces in order to access individual molecules. Many of

these polynuclear clusters are very fragile and undergo some structural transformations upon adsorption. The surface-molecule interaction in many cases lead to the loss of SMM characteristics and this has been witnessed in a variety of cases including the archetypal $\{\text{Mn}_{12}\}$ SMM.¹⁰ Recently using a long chain alkyl thiols a $\{\text{Fe}_4\}$ SMM has been organized on Au(111) surface and its SMM features on Au(111) surface have been observed using XMCD studies.¹¹ Understanding the surface-adsorbate interaction is thus very important to develop a strategy to organize SMMs on surfaces and in this regard theoretical tools are proven to have immense potential as they offer atomistic structure of molecules on surfaces and an insight into their physical as well as magnetic properties.

In this framework, two $\{\text{Mn}_6\}$ complexes (**1**) and (**2**), have been synthesized and their Self-Assembled-Monolayers (SAM) have been prepared and studied.^{12,13} Despite similar structural topology **1** exhibits spin ground state of $S = 4$ while **2** has a ground state of $S = 12$ with a barrier height of 86 K (See Figure 1).




Figure 1. Crystal structure of complexes **1** and **2**.¹⁴ Manganese atoms are purple coloured. Sulphur, Oxygen, Nitrogen, Carbon, Hydrogen are yellow, red, cyan, brown, and white coloured, respectively.

The difference in the ground states arises from the different strength of the magnetic exchange interactions between the individual Mn(III)-Mn(III) pairs. These are an ideal system to investigate at the atomistic level the effect of surface-molecule interaction because the two clusters are structurally similar yet exhibit very different magnetic properties, highlighting the fact that even a small perturbation in the structure can cause a large variation in the magnetic interactions. Theoretical modeling of such clusters on a solid substrate like Au(111) is challenging not only because of the large number of atoms included in the model but also because the computed structure and properties should be of very high quality to ascertain small differences. Thus this system poses a real challenge to the theoretical method we have established in previous works.^{15,16}

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

In this paper, we used DF based structural optimization to model complex **1** and **2** in gas phase and grafted on Au (111) surface and to determine ground state and magnetic exchange parameters. The simulation cell for the complex grafted on Au contains 450 atoms, and the molecular orbitals are described with a Gaussian-Type Orbital basis set of more than 2000 functions. The three layers model to mimic the gold surface has been validated in refs 11, 15, and 16. The convergence criteria of 1×10^{-6} Hartree for self-consistent-field energy and 2×10^{-3} Hartree Bohr⁻¹ for the atomic forces, have been considered to get reliably converged structures. To solve the KS equations we apply the gaussian and plane waves (GPW) formalism as implemented in the CP2K package.¹⁷ Double- ζ basis set are used to describe the molecular orbitals, and the augmented PW basis set is truncated to an energy of 400 Ry. Goedecker-Teter-Hutter norm conserved pseudo-potentials are employed to describe the interaction between

1
2
3 valence electrons and atomic cores. Initially we focused our attention on bare X-ray structure of
4
5 **1** and **2** to see how optimization of the geometry affects the ground state and the estimated
6
7 magnetic couplings. We used TPSS,¹⁸ PBE,¹⁹ and B97²⁰ with Van der Waals (VdW)
8
9 corrections.²¹ The optimized structures for the grafted species were obtained by modeling the
10
11 Au(111) surface by three layers^{11,15,16} keeping the lowest one frozen during the optimization.
12
13
14

15 With regards to the magnetic properties, it is quite well established in the literature that the
16
17 best agreement with the experimental data is reached using the Broken Symmetry (BS) approach,
18
19 developed by L. Noodleman and J. G. Norman.^{22,23} Application of the BS formalism requires the
20
21 knowledge of the energies of $2^N/2$ single Slater determinants (where N is number of exchange
22
23 coupling constants, J), and this task can easily become difficult for large N.²⁴ The number of
24
25 computed determinants was six for both isolated and grafted systems. The BS determinants with
26
27 different multiplicity were computed on the optimized HS geometries both for the isolated and
28
29 grafted scenario with a convergence criteria of 1.0×10^{-7} for the wavefunction energy gradient.
30
31 We chose to optimize the structures for the high spin (HS, S =12) state in order to avoid
32
33 problems deriving from an optimization performed on a multi-determinant state. The best results
34
35 for the isolated structures were obtained with the B97 functional with errors below the 3% on the
36
37 most important distances and angles for both systems in their isolated form (see Figure S1). The
38
39 functional B97 was therefore used throughout. Dihedral angles $\alpha_{\text{Mn-N-O-Mn}}$, range from errors
40
41 from 1.0 to 10.0 degrees are reported in Table 1.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

52
53 From the accuracy shown by CP2K in reproducing structural crystallographic data even for
54
55 such large and complex systems containing several transition metals, we feel confident on the
56
57
58
59
60

geometrical description of grafting process for **1** and **2**. In ref 13, it was suggested that the local coordination of Mn undergoes an Oh-like rearrangement after grafting. What we have seen is an overall resultant contraction of equatorial Mn-O and Mn-N distances with a concurrent sort of shift for the axial Mn-O distances for both Mn₃ units and systems due to the surface

Table 1. Experimental and computed dihedral angles $\alpha_{\text{Mn-O-N-Mn}}$ for complexes **1** and **2**.

Complex	Data source	$\alpha_{\text{Mn-O-N-Mn}}$, degree
1	X-ray ²⁵	8.9, 25.6, -2.5
		-8.9, -25.6, 2.5
	Isolated structure	8.0, 15.0, -9.1
		0.0, -22.9, 9.2
	Adsorbed structure	4.1, 13.1, 12.7
		-2.6, -20.3, 16.2
2	X-ray ²⁶	39.1, 34.9, 43.1
		-39.1, -34.9, -43.1 ^a
	Isolated structure	37.4, 30.5, 44.8
		-40.7, -32.2, -42.6
	Adsorbed structure	39.7, 29.7, 46.0
		-36.4, -32.9, -44.2 ^a


^a Values referred to the [Mn₃] unit closer to the surface

compression. The last effect can be directly ascribed to the interaction with the Au(111) surface. On the other hand, Au(111) surface seems to be “plastically” printed by the systems


steric shape inducing a depression of about 0.4 Å of the total height of the slab at the deepest point. The optimized structures for grafted species are reported in Figure 2. The Au(111) surface, as shown in Figure 2, adapts itself to the cluster reflecting its non-innocent effect on the induced distortions on the bottom Mn₃ units in both **1** and **2**. The grafting, in fact, induces an elongation of all Mn-Mn distances from 0.01 to 0.03 Å in Mn₃ units. Larger elongations have been found for Mn-Mn distances connecting the two Mn₃ units for system **2**, probably due to a major steric hindrance of the ligands (see Figure S2). For system **1**, where interactions Mn₃...Mn₄ and Mn₁...Mn₆ are propagated via H-bonding and thus are expected to be weak, the following Hamiltonian based on the experimental and computed Mn-Mn distances has been adopted for the isolated and grafted systems

$$SH = J_1(S_1S_3 + S_4S_6) + J_2(S_2S_3 + S_5S_6) + J_3(S_4S_5 + S_1S_2) + J_4(S_1S_4) \quad (1)$$


where the used labeling of the paramagnetic centers is reported in the following scheme:



Scheme 1. Numbering scheme used for Mn atoms both for compound **1** and **2**.¹⁴



a)



b)

Figure 2. Two $\{\text{Mn}_6\}$, **1** (a) and **2** (b), structures grafted on Au(111).¹⁴ To be noticed the depression induced by the cluster on the gold surface found in (a)

The computed J's are $J_1 = 10.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = 1.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = 3.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -2.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In agreement with the available experimental findings¹² (see also SI), the computed set of J's leads to an $S = 4$ ground state. A fair agreement is also found with the set of values computed with hybrid functionals reported earlier.^{27,28} Antiferromagnetic interactions within the Mn_3 units and ferromagnetic between the Mn_3 units have been reproduced. Moreover, our study confirms the antiferromagnetic coupling for $\alpha < 30^\circ$ (see Table 1 and refs. 27 and 28).

Passing to the grafted scenario we have obtained $J_1 = -25.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = 12.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = -5.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -22.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Although the ground state S value was not experimentally determined, it was noted that the magnetic properties are likely to be conserved in Au(111).^{12,13} Our calculations prove this hypothesis as computed Js on an energy minimized structure yield again an $S = 4$ ground state. Despite the reproduction of the ground state S value, the magnitude and sign of individual exchange are drastically different from those computed for the isolated $\{\text{Mn}_6\}$ cluster. Such result reveals that the gold surface is non-innocent and induces significantly structural modifications. (see Figure S2a) This is similar to our findings on Nitronyl-nitroxides adsorbed on gold surface.¹⁵

For complex **2**, (see Scheme 1), we have used the following SH:

$$\text{SH} = J_1(S_1S_2+S_2S_3+S_1S_3)+J_2(S_4S_6+S_4S_5+S_5S_6)+J_3(S_3S_4+S_1S_6)+J_4(S_1S_4) \quad (2)$$

which reproduces the spin symmetry more properly than the single J SH reported in ref 26. This is also supported by energy degeneracies found for different determinants. The results, using the Scheme 1 labeling, are the following: $J_1 = -37.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = -45.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = -18.81 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -15.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Passing to the grafted scenario, we obtained the following results: $J_1 = -37.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

¹, $J_2 = -56.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = -36.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -21.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In agreement with the experimental results, we found a $S = 12$ ground state for both isolated and grafted systems. Moreover, ferromagnetic coupling is obtained for $\alpha > 30^\circ$, as predicted (see Table 1 and refs 26-28). Differently from what observed for **1**, where J values changed significantly, for **2** we have confirmed the signs for all J 's with an increase of magnitude for all of them but J_1 . The larger and more effective differences found for **1** can be justified in terms of different coordination environments. The coordination environment for **1** seems to be less rigid than in **2** and therefore more prone to geometrical re-arrangements once grafted on Au(111) surface, as evidenced in Figure S2. In order to verify if and how the adsorption process could influence the SMM behavior, we have had also checked structural and spin parameters. One of them is the average apical-in-plane distance ratio, crucial for the anisotropy parameter of Jahn-Teller distorted Mn(III). Experimentally, values of 1.18 and 1.17 have been reported for **1** and **2**, respectively. Values of 1.207 and 1.176 for the isolated and 1.189 and 1.172 for the adsorbed species have been computed for **1** and **2**, respectively (see Table 2).

Table 2. Apical-in-plane average distances ratio, d_{ax}/d_{eq} , for optimized complex **1** and **2**.

		Mn(1)	Mn(2)	Mn(3)	Mn(4)	Mn(5)	Mn(6)
1	Isolated	1.267	1.122	1.250	1.245	1.101	1.259
	Grafted	1.253	1.090	1.233	1.247 ^a	1.080 ^a	1.233 ^a
2	Isolated	1.147	1.183	1.196	1.190	1.149	1.192
	Grafted	1.186	1.188	1.170	1.178 ^a	1.110 ^a	1.199 ^a

^a Values referred to the Mn₃ unit closer to the surface

The error on the isolated species is below the 3%. These numbers evidence a trend towards a more isotropic environment for Mn(III) passing from the isolated to the grafted scenario for both species. In detail, for **1** the decrease is associated to five of the six Mn ions, with the sixth left unchanged. The largest decrease has been observed for the Mn₃ unit closer to the gold surface. For **2**, instead, the situation is the result of an averaging of opposite distortions between the two Mn₃ units. Actually, the Mn₃ unit interfaced to the gold surface experiences a ratio decrease from 1.177 to 1.162 while the top Mn₃ unit experiences a smaller ratio increase from 1.175 to 1.181.

Associated to the reduction of the anisotropy in the Mn(III) ion ligand field, we have also computed an overall decrease of the magnetic moments on Mn(III) passing from the isolated to the grafted scenario. For **2** we have computed the largest decrease (1.4%) while for **1** a decrease of 0.7% is calculated. Such results, even if small in their magnitudes, support the proposed rationalization of XAS and XMCD spectra reported in ref 13. At the geometrical level it is also important to note that the clusters are calculated to be grafted to the surface with the easy axis of the magnetization tilted by an angle of 30° and 15° respect to the normal to the surface for **1** and **2**, respectively. Based on such results, we have computed a height of 14.0 Å and 14.6 Å for cluster **1** and **2** to be compared with the estimated from the crystal structure (16.0 Å) for a standing configuration. This reduction well compares with the value of 11.0 ± 2.0 Å found by the experimental STM line profile for both clusters.¹²

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have shown that the chosen DF methodology offers reliable spin state structures for SMM grafted on the Au(111). Our results confirm the structural and magnetic robustness of {Mn₆} clusters previously evidenced by synchrotron based investigations. The

computational approach presented here seems therefore to represent a precious tool to pick out molecular structures that are more likely to retain their SMM character once are grafted to a conducting substrate, thus allowing to focus experiments on the most promising systems.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Additional information on magnetic results and on optimized geometries are available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: federico.totti@unifi.it

Funding Sources

The support of the ERC through the AdG MolNanoMaS (proj. n. 267746) is also acknowledged. GR would like to acknowledge the financial support from the Government of India through Department of Science and Technology (SR/S1/IC-41/2010 and SR/NM/NS-1119/2011).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the CINECA award under the ISCRA initiative, for the availability of high performance computing resources and support.


REFERENCES

- (1) Cornia, A.; Fabretti, C.; Zobbi, L.; Caneschi, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Mannini, M.; Sessoli, R. in: R. Winpenny (Ed.), *Single-molecule magnets and related phenomena*, Structure & Bonding Book Series, vol. 122, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, **2006**, p. 133.
- (2) Bogani, L.; Wernsdorfer, W. *Nature Materials* **2008**, 7, 179.
- (3) Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R.; Villain, J. *Molecular Nanomagnets*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, **2006**.
- (4) Lin, P.-H.; Burchell, T. J.; Ungur, L.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Murugesu, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 9489.
- (5) Blagg, R. J.; Muryn, C. A.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Tuna, F.; Winpenny, R. E. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 6530.
- (6) Ishikawa, N.; Sugita, M.; Ishikawa, T.; Koshihara, S.-Y.; Kaizu, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 8694.
- (7) Rinehart, J. D.; Fang, M.; Evans, W. J.; and Long, J. R. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, 3, 538.
- (8) T. Rajeshkumar, T.; Rajaraman, G. *Chem. Comm.* **2012**, 48, 7856.
- (9) Milios, C. J.; Vinslava, A.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Moggach, S.; Parsons, S.; Perlepes, S. P.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 2754.
- (10) Grumbach, N.; Barla, A.; Joly, L.; Donnio, B.; Rogez, G.; Terazzi, E.; Kappler, J.-P.; Gallani, J.-L. *Eur. J. Phys. B* **2010**, 103, 73.

- (11) Mannini, M.; Pineider, F.; Danieli, C.; Totti, F.; Sorace, L.; Saintavit, Ph.; Arrio, M.-A.; Otero, E.; Joly, L.; Cezar, J. C.; Cornia, A.; Sessoli, R. *Nature* **2010**, *468*, 417.
- (12) Moro, F.; Corradini, V.; Evangelisti, M.; De Renzi, V.; Biagi, R.; del Pennino, U.; Milios, C. J.; Jones, L. F. and Brechin, E. K. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**, *112*, 9729.
- (13) Moro, F.; Corradini, V.; Evangelisti, M.; Biagi, R.; De Renzi, V.; del Pennino, U.; Cezar, J. C.; Inglis, R.; Milios, C. J. and Brechin, E. K. *Nanoscale* **2010**, *2*, 2698.
- (14) Momma, K.; Izumi, F. "VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data," *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2011**, *44*, 1272.
- (15) Rajaraman, G.; Caneschi, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Totti, F. *J. Mater. Chem.*, **2010**, *20*, 10747.
- (16) Rajaraman, G.; Caneschi, A.; Gatteschi, D.; Totti, F. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 3886.
- (17) Mundy, C. J.; Mohamed, F.; Schiffman, F.; Tabacchi, G.; Forbert, H.; Kuo, W.; Hutter, J.; Krack, M.; Iannuzzi, M.; McGrath, M.; Guidon, M.; Kuehne, T. D.; Laino, T.; VandeVondele, J.; Weber, V. CP2K software package, <http://cp2k.berlios.de>.
- (18) Tao, J.; Perdew, J. P.; Staroverov, V. N.; Scuseria, G. E. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *91*, 146401.
- (19) Zhang, Y.; Yang, W. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *80*, 890
- (20) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1997, *107*, 8554
- (21) Grimme, J. *J. Comp. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1787.

- (22) Noodleman, L.; Norman, J. G. Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1979**, *70*, 4903.
- (23) Noodleman, L. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1981**, *74*, 5737.
- (24) Bencini, A.; Totti, F. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2009**, *5*, 144 and refs therein.
- (25) Milios, C.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A.; Lloret, F.; Vicente, R.; Perlepes, S.; Escuer, A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 210.
- (26) Milios, C. J.; Inglis, R.; Vinslava, A.; Bagai, R.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Parsons, S.; Perlepes, S. P.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12505.
- (27) Atanasov, M.; Delley, B.; Neese, F.; Tregenna-Piggott, P. L.; Sigrist, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2112.
- (28) Cremades, E. ; Cano, J.; Ruiz, E.; Rajaraman, G.; Milios, C. J.; Brechin, E. K. Theoretical methods enlighten magnetic properties of a family of Mn(6) single-molecule magnets. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 8012.

TOC Graphic.



ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Computational Studies on SAMs of {Mn₆}SMMs on Au(111): Do properties change upon grafting?

Federico Totti, Gopalan Rajaraman, Marcella Iannuzzi, and Roberta Sessoli

For system **1**, previous theoretical and experimental studies report the following exchange part of Spin Hamiltonian (SH),

$$\text{SH} = J_1(S_1S_3+S_4S_6) + J_2(S_2S_3+S_5S_6+S_4S_5+S_1S_2) + J_3(S_1S_6+S_3S_4) + J_4(S_1S_4)^{25} \quad (\text{see Scheme 1 in the paper}) \quad (\text{S1})$$

The fitting of magnetic susceptibility data offers the following set of exchange interactions, $J_1 = 7.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = 25.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = 0.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -24.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and this leads to an $S = 4$ ground state. The computed values with our DF energies are $J_1 = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_2 = 11.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_3 = 1.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_4 = -4.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and an $S = 4$ ground state has been confirmed.

For complex **2**, (see Scheme 1 in the paper), one SH with one²⁶ J parameter has been used to fit experimental magnetic measurements:

$$\text{SH} = J(S_1S_2+S_2S_3+S_1S_3+S_4S_6+S_4S_5+S_5S_6+S_1S_5+S_1S_4+S_3S_5) \quad (\text{S2})$$

The reported ground state is $S = 12$ with an experimental $J = -1.86 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The computed J using our computed DF energies is -31.96 cm^{-1} . The previous result is obviously an average value. It comes out as the average value obtained from a set of several determinants energy differences.

Such set of equations is, however, far from being consistent, suggesting the high roughness of the single J SH approach.




Figure S1. Superposition of experimental (red) and optimized (blue) structures for system **1** (a) and **2** (b). Manganese atoms are evidenced as purple spheres.




Figure S2. Superposition of grafted (cyan) and isolated (red) structures for system **1** (a) and **2** (b). Manganese atoms are evidenced as purple spheres. For **1** the Mn-O connecting the two Mn_3 units have been omitted for clarity
